UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

ERI	\sim	$T / T / \Lambda$	\mathbf{D}^{r}	TATT	
$\mathbf{L}\mathbf{n}\mathbf{r}$	\cup	IVL	M.	L LIN.	

Case No. 2:24-cv-11155

Plaintiff,

HONORABLE STEPHEN J. MURPHY, III

v.

ROBERT HURST, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO REOPEN CASE [10]

The Court dismissed the instant case without prejudice for failure to pay the filing fee. ECF 5. Plaintiff moved to reopen the case because he sent a \$5.00 money order with the motion. ECF 10, PgID 24–25. But the filing fee is \$405.00. The Court therefore will deny the motion because Plaintiff still failed to pay the required fee.

To be sure, the filing fee for a habeas petition is \$5.00. And Plaintiff captioned his complaint "habeas corpus." ECF 1, PgID 1. "[A] district court shall entertain an application for a writ of habeas corpus in behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court only on the ground that he is in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a). But Plaintiff did not allege or indicate in any of his filings that he is currently in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court. Rather, Plaintiff's complaint concerns the unlawful taking of his motorcycle, malicious prosecution, and violation of his due process rights in an ongoing criminal case. See ECF 1. And Plaintiff asks for injunctive relief from the State prosecution. See, e.g., id. at 2. The fact that Plaintiff

titled his complaint "Habeas Corpus," however, does not override a finding that the complaint itself is not a petition for writ of habeas corpus but a civil complaint for the violation of constitutional rights. Plaintiff therefore was required to pay the civil filing fee of \$405.00 or to submit an application to proceed in forma pauperis. See ECF

WHEREFORE, it is hereby ORDERED that the motion to reopen the case [10] is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Financial Department must FORTHWITH REFUND the payment, Receipt No. 150011946, to the Plaintiff.

SO ORDERED.

s/ Stephen J. Murphy, III STEPHEN J. MURPHY, III United States District Judge

Dated: September 6, 2024

3.

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon the parties and/or counsel of record on September 6, 2024, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

s/ R. Loury
Case Manager